

Costs and trends in modern rotor blade manufacturing

Roman Braun, H. Rosemann, M. Lindermann, O. Bagemiel Wind Energy Denmark, Herning, 01.10.2019



Fraunhofer IWES sites



Rotor blade department



Composite technology

Manufacturing







Manufacturing cost analysis

IWES blade IB40-1.5

- < 40 m long blade for a 1.5 MW wind turbine
- IWES flatback airfoils
- ≺ T-bolt connection
- Materials:
 - -< Glass fiber NCFs + epoxy resin
 - -< Balsa wood + PET foam
 - < Epoxy adhesive, ...
- ≺ Blade mass: 5530 kg
- -< Structural shell concept





Manufacturing cost analysis

Production steps

- Prefabs: Main spar caps, root flange inserts, root platform, shear webs (vacuum infusion)
- 4 2 shells (vacuum infusion)
- -< Shear web bonding
- Shell bonding
- -< Machining
- -< Surface activation and coating





Manufacturing cost analysis

Scenario: 40 m blade series produced in one mold set in western Europe

- 4 24h main mold cycle time (+ repair time), 226 blades per year
- ✓ 10 €/kg plus 2000 € logistic costs (depending on wind farm location)



Material costs for sandwich parts





Material costs for spar caps





Material costs for spar caps

Pultruded profiles

- High fiber volume content, high stiffness & strength
- Reproducible impregnation & curing process while fibers are under tension
 - \rightarrow Very good fiber orientation, low scatter
 - \rightarrow Potential (especially of carbon fibers) useable
- Higher pultrusion speed to reduce process costs (Resin injection simulation for tool design)











Main mold occupation costs (times)





Main mold occupation costs (times)

- -< Assumption: More blades can be sold per year if they can be produced faster.
 - → Saving 1h main mold occupation time is worth 170 000 €. (Scenario: 40 m blades, 3 years x 226 blades per year)
- -< Prefabbing: Pre-fabrication of shell-components
 - -< Established for spar caps & root insert
 - Faster infusion and curing possible
 - Lowers repair effort (dry-spots, wrinkles)





Main mold occupation costs (times)

- -< Low viscosity infusion resins for faster infusion
- **Faster curing** of infusion resins and adhesives
 - ✓ Cure monitoring: Measure temperature time series
 → Curing model: Earliest demolding time
 - ✓ Curing at higher temperatures
 → Trade-off between cycle time & composite/bond line strength due to higher residual stresses!
 - ✓ New infusion resins/adhesives, higher reactive curing agent → Reduction in pot life. Fast resin infusion / adhesive application necessary!











Adhesive application and curing

- -< Reproducibly fast adhesive application
 - \rightarrow Lower main mold occupation times
 - \rightarrow Faster curing adhesives applicable
 - → Reduced risk to loose a blade in production or in service

- Ist solution: Automated application of a triangular bead
- ~ 2nd solution: Semi-automated application of beads with variable cross-sections
 - -< Actuators deform a nozzle's cross section
 - Quick and easy change of profiles without material waste and cleaning effort
 - < Patent pending





Surface finish effort





Surface finish effort

(Semi-)automated surface activation

- Higher material removal rate at lower cost
- ✓ 6-axis CNC-controlled gantry used as test rig for reproducible grinding tests
 → Aims at measuring the removal rate
- -< Belt grinder from Jöst Abrasives tested:
 - -< Removes dust from blade instead of rubbing it in
 - Helt cleaning station removes dust from belt to keep it sharp
 - -< Long tool life with constant grinding properties







Surface finish effort

Surface scanning

- Scanning system to measure actual blade shape before grinding
- -< Patented optimization process to find optimum blade shape
- Projection system to inform workers (add/remove material?)
- -< Controlling the grinder to create that blade shape
- Flexible system: can be integrated into automation, but does not require automation equipment





Costs of bad quality?

- High costs in case of a blade failure:

- -< Repairs or blade replacement with crane
- < Downtime / AEP loss
- < Root cause analysis
- Increased inspection effort for similar blades?
- Keinforcement of similar blades on site?
- Ghallenging for sales team?
- Very seldom, has happened to almost every company though.
- \rightarrow Avoidance costs difficult to quantify
- \rightarrow Robust processes for reproducibly high quality!





Conclusion & outlook

Highly competitive market → Reduce blade manufacturing (& service) costs!

- Robust processes for reproducibly high quality and utilization of material potential (pultrusion, adhesive application)
- Processes that reduce work effort and mold/machine occupation times (preforming, prefabbing, faster infusion & curing, grinding)
- < Cheap high performance materials
- \prec How much may a new material/machine cost? \rightarrow Cost model can give answers.

- Outlook:

- Modularization & standardization
- -< Suppliers not only for materials, but also for (standardized) blade components



Acknowledgements

Fraunhofer IWES is funded by:

Federal Republic of Germany

Federal Ministry for Economic Affairs and Energy

Federal Ministry of Education and Research

European Regional Development Fund (ERDF):

Federal State of Bremen

- -< Senator of Civil Engineering, Environment and Transportation
- -< Senator of Economy, Labor and Ports
- -< Senator of Science, Health and Consumer Protection
- -< Bremerhavener Gesellschaft f
 ür Investitionsf
 örderung und Stadtentwicklung mbH

Federal State of Lower Saxony

Free and Hanseatic City of Hamburg



Bundesministerium für Wirtschaft und Energie Bundesministerium für Bildung und Forschung



Europäische Union Investition in Bremens Zukunft Europäischer Fonds für regionale Entwicklung













Thanks a lot for your attention!



